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The study describes the topography, morphology and growth of osteophytes in forty femoral heads removed from patients presenting with advanced osteoarthritis of the hip. In addition to standard histological techniques, radiography of serial bone slices and in vivo bone labelling with tetracycline and \(^{32}\)P were used. The pattern of major osteophyte formation appeared to be influenced by the direction, degree and rate of displacement of the femoral head in relation to the acetabulum; four principal patterns of growth were noted. Osteophytes form part of extensive osteogenic processes that involve bone structure in the osteoarthritic joint.

Osteoarthritis is characterised by a progression of pathological changes commencing in articular cartilage, and ultimately affecting bone and soft tissues of the joint. One of the most distinctive of these changes, particularly in advanced stages of the disease, is the formation of bony outgrowths or osteophytes. The hip joint in comparison with other osteoarthritic joints often shows marked osteophyte formation, and changes involving the femoral head are usually more advanced than those seen in the acetabulum (Collins 1949).

Although a prominent feature of the disease, osteophytes form only part of continuing remodelling processes that affect bone structure throughout the joint. The present paper describes a study of osteophyte growth in the osteoarthritic femoral head, the pattern of growth being examined in relation to other changes occurring within the joint.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Forty femoral heads from men and women undergoing total hip replacement for advanced osteoarthritis were studied. Sections of excised capsule and synovial membrane were also taken for histological examination. All patients were examined before operation and the affected hip assessed clinically and radiographically. Patients presenting with osteoarthritis that could be attributed to a specific pre-existing hip disorder, either congenital or acquired, were excluded from the series.

Ten patients were given a tracer dose of radioactive \(^{32}\)P and tetracycline to label areas of new bone formation within the femoral head and neck. Bone sections were examined for uptake of these labels, using autoradiographic and ultra-violet fluorescent techniques previously described (Jeffery 1973).

Each excised femoral head was examined macroscopically, photographed and cut with a hand-saw into serial slices approximately 5 millimetres thick. In most specimens the slices were cut in a coronal plane to facilitate the correlation of the subsequent slab radiographs and whole-head histological sections with the pre-operative radiographs. Some specimens were cut in a sagittal or oblique plane to provide a different perspective of bone structure and osteophyte formation.

All slices were radiographed on fine grain industrial radiographic film (Kodak Microtex) using standard equipment with an exposure of 5 seconds at 48kV, 50 mA and a tube-to-specimen distance of one metre.

Whole-head histological sections of 10 to 12 µ were prepared after decalcification of bone slices from the anterior, mid-coronal and posterior planes of the femoral head. A standard histological technique of double embedding with 2 per cent celloidin and wax was used, and three or four sections were prepared from each bone slice. Osteophytes were studied in more detail by cutting them from the remaining bone slices and preparing serial histological sections of 7 to 8 µ.

Undecalcified bone sections were also prepared by the method of Ball (1957). These sections, 7 to 8 µ thick, were prepared from segments of bone taken from osteophytes and from within the femoral head, and examined for distribution of mineral after staining with a modified von Kossa technique. A 1 per cent solution of silver nitrate was used to avoid obscuring cellular detail and the sections were counterstained with 1 per cent Nuclear Fast Red.

The sections of capsule and synovial membrane were examined by routine histological and staining methods including the von Kossa technique to identify bone mineral lying within the synovial membrane.

With these methods osteophyte growth and changes affecting bone structure of the femoral head and neck could be examined in detail and correlated with the pre-operative clinical and radiographic findings.

RESULTS

Osteophyte morphology

Osteophytes arising from and involving the femoral head were classified according to their location and direction of growth (Figs. 1 to 3).

Marginal osteophytes—These grow either at the peripheral

* Based on a paper presented at the annual meeting of the New Zealand Orthopaedic Association, Waitangi, October 1, 1973.
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margin of the femoral head or centrally at the margin of the fovea capitis. Bone growth commences in areas where synovium and cartilage merge and continues by a form of enchondral ossification (Fig. 4). The osteophyte surface is covered by fibrous tissue undergoing differentiation and cartilaginous metaplasia. Microscopically the superficial layer consists of closely arranged mesenchymal cells, while more deeply lie actively proliferating and differentiating cells. The deepest and most mature cells are rounded, often showing degenerative changes and surrounded by mineralised matrix. Enchondral new bone downwards from the central foveal area. Growth occurs partly within the superficial layers of the original articular cartilage, the deeper layers of which are buried and may later be gradually replaced by new bone (Fig. 5). Like the marginal osteophyte the surface of the epiarticular osteophyte is composed of differentiating fibrous tissue and fibrocartilage, and growth outwards from the femoral head is by enchondral ossification.

The epiarticular growth extends to involve existing articular cartilage. Growth was not found covering the surface of intact articular cartilage, nor extending over and vascular channels extend into the zone of provisional mineralisation from the underlying bone marrow. Remodelling of trabeculae within the osteophyte is seen, and osteoid seams adjacent to newly formed trabeculae indicate the presence of active osteogenesis with appositional new bone formation (Jeffery 1973).

Epiarticular osteophytes—Growth of a marginal osteophyte may extend to involve the surface of the femoral head, forming an epiarticular osteophyte. The medial and postero-medial surface of the head is most commonly involved by this form of growth, which extends upwards from the peripheral marginal area or, less commonly, bony surfaces denuded of articular cartilage. The response of articular cartilage to invasion by the advancing osteophyte and its role in extension of the bony growth was examined (Fig. 6). Adjacent to the apex of the osteophyte the superficial layer of articular cartilage showed considerable cellular activity, with apparent proliferation and differentiation, with vascular invasion and enchondral new bone formation. Cells in the deeper zone of the articular cartilage showed a more limited response. Vascular invasion in this area was accompanied by cell degeneration, with new bone gradually replacing the existing cartilage matrix.
Subarticular osteophytes—In osteoarthritis, microscopic areas of new bone formation are commonly seen extending across the chondro-osseous junction into the basal layers of degenerating articular cartilage. In the specimens examined, irregular plaques of bone formed in this way were noted, but these were commonly confined to the deeper zone of the articular cartilage and seldom produced a surface projection evident on macroscopic examination of the fresh specimen (Fig. 7). Prominent and apparently isolated osteophytes projecting from the articular cartilage were found on serial sectioning to be epiarticular growths arising from the central or peripheral marginal areas of the femoral head, and not subarticular growths arising from the subjacent bony surface.

Osteophytes and the femoral neck—Although the present study was concerned primarily with osteophytes related to the femoral head, bony outgrowths were frequently noted on the femoral neck. These formed nodules or laminated appearance on radiography of the coronal bone slabs, an appearance also commonly seen in the pre-operative radiographs (Figs. 15 and 16). This thickening was described in congenital subluxation of the hip by Wiberg (1939) and in idiopathic osteoarthritis by Lloyd-Roberts (1953), who suggested as a cause the elevation of the peristeum away from the neck of the femur by a traction force transmitted through the postero-inferior capsular reflection. Examination of the labelled specimens showed periosteal new bone extending beyond the areas of capsular reflection. Some specimens also showed endosteal new bone in the region of the calcar, suggesting...

Fig. 4
Undecalcified section from the tip of a marginal osteophyte showing cellular differentiation and advancing enchondral new bone formation. Deep in the section, osteoid seams lie adjacent to newly formed trabeculae. (Von Kossa stain, x135.)
Section from the apex of an epipatricular osteophyte advancing into articular cartilage. Cellular activity and enchondral new bone formation appear more prominent in the superficial layers of the cartilage. Vascular invasion and mineralisation of matrix in the deeper layers are accompanied by less cellular response.

(Haematoxylin and eosin, $\times$ 58.)
FIG. 7
Undecalcified section showing subarticular formation of new bone extending into the basal layers of degenerating articular cartilage. The new bone is seen at the top of the section and there is no accompanying surface projection. (Von Kossa stain, ×28.)

FIG. 8
Section of hypertrophied synovial membrane from the articular margin. Considerable bone detritus lies within the membrane. (Von Kossa stain, ×175.)
that the thickening is formed principally in response to abnormal stress, as originally proposed by Wiberg. Capsule and synovial membrane—Specimens of excised capsule typically showed extensive fibrosis, with thickening and loss of elasticity. Hypertrophy and villous formation of the synovial membrane was most prominent at the joint margins, both peripherally and centrally. Histological sections from these areas showed considerable amounts of joint detritus lying within the synovial tissue (Fig. 8). Elsewhere in the specimens the synovium was often thin or completely absent, being replaced by fibrous tissue.

**Osteophyte growth patterns**

Although the osteophyte formation on each femoral head varied considerably, the shape and distribution of principal growth appeared related to other changes occurring in the joint. Four main patterns of osteophyte formation were commonly seen.
Type I (Figs. 9 to 11)—This group was characterised by a broad flat epiarticular osteophyte involving the medial and postero-medial aspect of the femoral head. Sphericity of the head was reasonably maintained, although degenerative changes with cyst formation were commonly noted on clinical and radiological examination of the joint.

Type II (Figs. 12 to 14)—In this group the medial epiarticular osteophyte projected outwards and downwards...
within the acetabulum. Fixed deformities of flexion, lateral rotation and adduction were prominent clinically. 

**Type III** (Figs. 15 to 17)—In this type the femoral head was characteristically encircled by a ring of peripheral marginal osteophytes, with degenerative and destructive changes involving the medial and postero-medial surface. The bone changes were most marked in these areas and the associated bone cysts were usually small and circumferentially placed.

**Type IV** (Figs. 18 to 20)—Peripheral marginal osteophytes were also most marked in cases of acetabular protrusio. When bone destruction was followed by upward migra-
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**Radiograph of an osteoarthritic hip showing Type III changes. Loss of medial joint space is prominent and marginal osteophytes have formed at the periphery of the femoral head.**
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**Figure 16—Radiograph of a midcoronal bone slice from the femoral head in Figure 15. Thickening of the calcar is prominent. The same slice with uptake of tetracycline and 32P bone label is shown in Figures 21 to 23.**
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**Figure 17—Histological section from the same femoral head. Loss of cartilage is most marked medially.**

Of the four categories, changes of the Type I and Type II pattern were most commonly seen in men and Types III and IV in women.
DISCUSSION

The topography of osteophytes involving the femoral head was described by Harrison, Schajowicz and Trueta (1953), who noted the importance of growth in areas of low joint stress. These authors could see no justification in referring to osteophytes as marginal structures and stated that growth occurred in any area of low joint stress, of which the peripheral border of the articular cartilage was but one. In the present study, however, most of the osteophytes were found to be marginal growths arising principally from the periphery of the femoral head but also at the central foveal area. Epiarticular osteophytes were extensions of these marginal growths, the growth usually involving the medial and postero-medial surface of the head. Subarticular osteophytes were the only osteophytes not arising from marginal zones. These were occasionally found as small plaques of bone in the deepest layers of the articular cartilage but did not commonly form macroscopic growths.
The marginal osteophyte grows by a form of enchondral ossification with progressive changes of cellular proliferation, differentiation and elaboration of intercellular matrix. This is accompanied by advancing mineralisation, in the osteophyte, and may in part be the result of lack of orientation of intercellular collagen.

The response of existing articular cartilage and its contribution to growth of the epiarticular osteophyte was
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**Figure 21**—A midcoronal bone slice labelled with tetracycline and radioactive $^{32}$P. Slab radiography and histology of the same slice are shown in Figures 16 and 17. Figure 22—The bone slice in Figure 21 viewed with ultra-violet light and showing tetracycline fluorescence in areas of new bone formation. Uptake is prominent medially in areas adjacent to maximal cartilage loss and bone degeneration. Fluorescence is also seen in the marginal osteophytes and in the region of the calcar where new subperiosteal bone is also being formed.

![Figure 22](image2)
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**Figure 23**

Slab autoradiograph of the bone slice shown in Figures 21 and 22 showing maximal uptake of $^{32}$P in areas of active osteogenesis.

vascular invasion and new bone formation. These changes resemble to some extent those seen in the epiphysial plate of the immature growing long bone, but columnation of cells and orderly progression of differentiation is not seen of interest. Recent research indicates that regeneration of both cells and matrix can occur in injured and in osteoarthritic articular cartilage (Cruess 1971; Mankin and Lippiello 1970). At the apex of the advancing
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epiarticular osteophyte it was found that changes within the articular cartilage varied with the zone involved. Cartilage cells near the surface appeared active, with apparent proliferation and differentiation preceding enchondral new bone formation. The deeper layers of the cartilage showed only a limited cellular response, and vascular invasion was accompanied by gradual replacement of the existing cartilage matrix by bone. The present study suggests that cells in the superficial zone of articular cartilage retain the ability to undergo morphological change and participate actively in the process of enchondral bone growth. Cells in the deeper zone do not appear to retain this potential to the same degree. These findings are at variance with the hypothesis proposed by McKibbin and Holdsworth (1967) who, on the basis of an experimental study, suggested that the superficial layer of articular cartilage was destined from its origin to serve as articular cartilage and was incapable of ossification. It must be pointed out, however, that with growth of the epiarticular osteophyte, ossification is extending into degenerate articular cartilage and not into normal cartilage.

Although the mode of osteophyte growth has been described, factors initiating and maintaining this growth remain unknown. Collins (1949) described the circumference of articular cartilage as a region distinguished not only by its great vascularity, but also by the grouping particularly of partly differentiated mesenchymal tissues, fibrous connective tissue, perichondrium, periosteum, synovial membrane, bone and cartilage. In the femoral head the same grouping of tissues is seen in the central foveal region. At these junctional areas cellular proliferation and differentiation, followed by new bone formation, may be initiated by the presence of accumulated cartilage and bone detritus arising from the more centrally placed areas of joint destruction. Lloyd-Roberts (1953) described the considerable amount of debris found in the synovium of osteoarthritic hips, and accumulation was noted in the recesses of capsular reflection, particularly inferiorly and below the femoral neck. In the present study these findings were confirmed: hypertrophied synovium containing detritus was most prominent at the articular margins and in areas of synovial reflection.

Once growth of the marginal osteophyte is initiated, the size and shape of growth appear to be influenced by the displacement of the femoral head within the acetabulum. The direction, degree and rate of displacement of the head all appear important factors in determining the ultimate pattern of principal osteophyte formation. In cases examined in which bone destruction and migration of the head occurred rapidly, osteophytes were correspondingly less prominent. When bone destruction and displacement of the head were considerable, but had been slowly progressive over a longer period, the osteophytes were commonly large. It seems that as the femoral head migrates, pressure and stress relationships between the head, the capsule and the acetabulum alter, the osteophyte growing in the direction of least contact or resistance. There was no evidence that osteophyte growth in itself caused displacement of the femoral head, but sizeable outgrowths could be a factor in the subsequent limitation of joint movement.

In a previous study using 32P and tetracycline bone-markers it was shown that considerable osteogenic activity was present within the osteoarthritic femoral head, and was most marked in advanced stages of the disease. Both enchondral and appositional new bone formation was noted, particularly surrounding cysts and in some areas of bone sclerosis (Jeffery 1973). Similar forms of new bone formation also taking up these bone labels extend outside the femoral head and neck (Figs. 21 to 23). These areas of active osteogenesis altering both the internal and external bony structure of the osteoarthritic hip would account for the increased uptake of bone-seeking isotopes described by previous authors using external bone scanning techniques (Danielsson, Dymling and Heripret 1963; Kolář, Vyhnánek, Bek, Drápelová, Janko and Babický 1967).
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